

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/848,802	05/19/2004	William Herbert Stames JR.	EP-1020-CIP-CON	6244	
7	7590 03/21/2006			EXAMINER	
Daniel J. Hudak, Jr. HUDAK, SHUNK & FARINE CO. LPA			SZEKELY	SZEKELY, PETER A	
Suite 307			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
2020 Front Street			1714		
Cuyahoga Falls, OH 44221			DATE MAILED: 03/21/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
· .	10/848,802	STARNES ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Peter Szekely	1714
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 M	ay 2004.	
	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 23-40</u> is/are pending in the appl	lication.	•
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	•	·
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 23-40</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	
Application Papers	•	٩
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r	•
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Application	on No
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	·
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.
Attachment(s)	•	
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate atent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/19/04</u> .	6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/848,802

Art Unit: 1714

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lindsey 3,242,133 or Szabo 3,919,359.
- 3. Lindsey discloses dibutylmercaptosuccinate in Table I. Szabo teaches dimethylmercaptosuccinate in Example 5 and diethylmercaptosuccinate in Example 7.

 Applicants' claim is not novel.
- 4. Claims 1 and 23-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Henkel KgaA DE 32 47 736.
- 5. Henkel reveals applicants' thiol compound in claims 8 and 9 and applicants stabilized halogen containing polymer in claims 1, 3 and for. Epoxidized soybean oil can be found on page 12, lines 19-20 and page 23, line 12. Appropriate alcohols are listed on page 7, lines 17-21. The presence of metallic stabilizers in claims 2 and 5 does not negate the fact that a metal free stabilization system is claimed in claims 1, 3 and 4. The reference claims two systems of stabilization, with and without metallic stabilizers, just like applicants claim their system with and without epoxidized soybean oil. The examiner knows no judicial decision, which would restrict a reference to one inventive composition. Applicants' claims are not novel.

Application/Control Number: 10/848,802

Art Unit: 1714

Double Patenting

6. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 7. Claims 1 and 23-40 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-22 of U.S. Patent No. 6,762,231. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the patent encompass that of the instant application.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter Szekely whose telephone number is (571) 272-1124. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 a.m.-5:30 p.m. Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/848,802 Page 4

Art Unit: 1714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Peter Szekely Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714

P.S. 3/16/06